arXiv:1104.4232v1 [math.QA] 21 Apr 2011

Extension of vertex operator algebra $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\ell, 0)$

Cuipo Jiang[1] and Song Wang[2]
Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Jiaotong University, Shanghai 200240 China

Abstract

We classify the irreducible restricted modules for the affine Nappi-Witten Lie algebra $\widehat{H}_4$ with some natural conditions. It turns out the representation theory of $\widehat{H}_4$ is quite different from the theory of representations of Heisenberg algebras. We also study the extension of the vertex operator algebra $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\ell, 0)$ by the even lattice L. We give the structure of the extension $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\ell, 0) \otimes \mathbb{C}[L]$ and its irreducible modules via irreducible representations of $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\ell, 0)$ viewed as a vertex algebra.

2000MSC:17B69

1 Introduction

The Nappi-Witten algebra H_4 is a four-dimensional Lie algebra with the basis $\{a, b, c, d\}$ and the following Lie bracket:

$$[a, b] = c, \quad [d, a] = a, \quad [d, b] = -b, \quad [c, d] = [c, a] = [c, b] = 0.$$

There exists a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form $(,)$ on H_4 defined by

$$(a, b) = 1, \quad (c, d) = 1, \quad \text{otherwise, } (\ , \ ) = 0.$$

The associated affine Nappi-Witten Lie algebra is

$$\widehat{H}_4 = H_4 \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k}.$$

The study of the affine Nappi-Witten algebra $\widehat{H}_4$ was initiated by the theory of Wess-Zumino-Novikov-Witten (WZNW) models based on non-abelian non-semisimple groups [31, 27]. WZNW models corresponding to abelian or compact semisimple groups have been studied extensively. The importance of non-abelian non-semisimple types was first noticed in [8, 27]. It was shown in [27] that the NW model corresponding to a central extension of the two-dimensional Euclidean groups describes the spectrum and scattering of strings moving in a gravitational wave background. In [19], irreducible representations with unitary base for the affine NW algebra were constructed through four free fields.

---

[1] Supported by China NSF grants 10931006,10871125, the Innovation Program of Shanghai Municipal Education Commission (11ZZ18) and a grant of Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality (No. 09XD1402500)(E-mail: cpjiang@sjtu.edu.cn).

[2] E-mail: wangsong025@sina.com.

In [1], Verma type modules for the affine Nappi-Witten algebra $\widehat{H}_4$ were investigated. The associated vertex operator algebra $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\ell, 0)$ for a given complex number ℓ and its representations were also studied in [1]. More results on the NW model can be found in [4, 5, 6, 7].

It is known that every restricted $\widehat{H}_4$-module of level ℓ carries a unique module structure for the vertex algebra $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\ell, 0)$, extending the action of $\widehat{H}_4$ in a canonical way and that every module of the vertex algebra $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\ell, 0)$ is naturally a restricted $\widehat{H}_4$-module of level ℓ. This leads us to study restricted modules for the affine Lie algebra $\widehat{H}_4$. On the other hand, let $L = \mathbb{Z}c \otimes \mathbb{Z}d$, then L is a non-degenerate even lattice. Motivated by [22], [23], [24], [25] and [11], we consider the extension $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\ell, 0)[L]$ of $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\ell, 0)$ by L. Some ideas we use come from these papers. For the simple vertex operator algebra $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ and an irreducible highest weight $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$-module W with $\mathfrak{g}$ a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra and ℓ a positive integer, or with $\mathfrak{g}$ abelian and $\ell = 1$, it is known that $W^{(\alpha)}$ is still an irreducible highest weight module for the affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ (cf. [11], [22], [23], [24], [25]), where $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}$ such that $\alpha(0)$ acts semisimply on V with integral eigenvalues and $\mathfrak{h}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. But for $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\ell, 0)$, it turns out it is quite different. For general $\alpha \in L$ and an irreducible highest weight $\widehat{H}_4$-module W, $W^{(\alpha)}$ is not a highest weight module of $\widehat{H}_4$ anymore. We characterize $W^{(\alpha)}$ for every irreducible highest weight module of $\widehat{H}_4$.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we recall the various modules, admissible modules and ordinary modules for a vertex operator algebra. We also give some known related results on the affine Nappi-Witten algebra and the associated vertex operator algebra. In section 3, we characterize three types of restricted $\widehat{H}_4$-modules and their irreducible quotients. Section 4 is devoted to the extension $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\ell, 0)[L]$ and its irreducible modules.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we briefly review the definitions of vertex operator algebra and various modules (cf. [3], [9], [12], [16], [36], [20], [35]). We also give some known results related to the Nappi-Witten algebra H_4.

A vertex operator algebra V is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded vector space $V = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} V_n$ equipped with a linear map $Y : V \to (\mathrm{End}V)[[z, z^{-1}]]$, $a \mapsto Y(a, z) = \sum\limits_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} a_n z^{-n-1}$ for $a \in V$ such that $\dim V_n$ is finite for all integer n and that $V_n = 0$ for sufficiently small n (see [16]). There are two distinguished vectors, the *vacuum vector* $\mathbf{1} \in V_0$ and the *Virasoro element* $\omega \in V_2$. By definition $Y(\mathbf{1}, z) = \mathrm{id}_V$, and the component operators $\{L(n) | n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ of $Y(\omega, z) = \sum\limits_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} L(n) z^{-n-2}$ give a representation of the Virasoro algebra on V with central charge c. Each homogeneous subspace V_n ($n \geq 0$) is an eigenspace for $L(0)$ with eigenvalue n.

Definition 2.1. A weak V-module is a vector space M equipped with a linear map

$$\begin{aligned} Y_M: \quad & V \to (\mathrm{End}M)[[z, z^{-1}]] \\ & v \mapsto Y_M(v, z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} v_n z^{-n-1}, \quad v_n \in \mathrm{End}M \end{aligned}$$

satisfying the following conditions:

1) $v_n w = 0$ for $n >> 0$ where $v \in V$ and $w \in M$

2) $Y_M(\mathbf{1}, z) = \mathrm{Id}_M$

3) The Jacobi identity holds:

$$\begin{aligned} z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1 - z_2}{z_0}\right) Y_M(u, z_1)Y_M(v, z_2) - z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_2 - z_1}{-z_0}\right) Y_M(v, z_2)Y_M(u, z_1) \\ = z_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2}\right) Y_M(Y(u, z_0)v, z_2). \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Definition 2.2. An admissible V-module is a weak V-module which carries a $\mathbb{Z}_+$-grading $M = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+} M(n)$, such that if $v \in V_r$, then $v_m M(n) \subseteq M(n + r - m - 1)$.

Definition 2.3. An ordinary V-module is a weak V-module which carries a $\mathbb{C}$-grading $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}} M_\lambda$, such that:

1) $dim(M_\lambda) < \infty$,

2) $M_{\lambda+n} = 0$ for fixed λ and $n << 0$,

3) $L(0)w = \lambda w = \mathrm{wt}(w)w$ for $w \in M_\lambda$, where $L(0)$ is the component operator of $Y_M(\omega, z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} L(n)z^{-n-2}$.

Remark 2.4. *It is easy to see that an ordinary V-module is an admissible one. If W is an ordinary V-module, we simply call W a V-module.*

We now introduce the Nappi-Witten algebra and some known related results.

The *Nappi-Witten Lie algebra* H_4 is a 4-dimensional vector space

$$H_4 = \mathbb{C}a \oplus \mathbb{C}b \oplus \mathbb{C}c \oplus \mathbb{C}d$$

equipped with the bracket relations

$$[a, b] = c, \quad [d, a] = a, \quad [d, b] = -b, \quad [c, d] = [c, a] = [c, b] = 0.$$

and a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form $(,)$ on H_4 defined by

$$(a, b) = 1, \quad (c, d) = 1, \quad \text{otherwise, } (\ ,\ ) = 0.$$

The Nappi-Witten algebra H_4 is has the following triangular decomposition:

$$H_4 = H_4^+ \oplus H_4^0 \oplus H_4^- = H_4^{\geq 0} \oplus H_4^-,$$

where

$$H_4^+ = \mathbb{C}a, \quad H_4^0 = \mathbb{C}c \oplus \mathbb{C}d, \quad H_4^- = \mathbb{C}b.$$

For $\lambda \in (H_4^0)^*$, the highest weight module (Verma module) of H_4 is defined by

$$M(\lambda) = U(H_4) \otimes_{U(H_4^{\geq 0})} \mathbb{C}_\lambda, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ is the 1-dimensional $H_4^{\geq 0}$-module, on which $h \in H_4^0$ acts as multiplication by $\lambda(h)$ and H_4^+ acts as 0. For convenience, we denote $M(\lambda)$ by $M(\mathbb{c}, \mathbb{d})$ when $\lambda(c) = \mathbb{c}$ and $\lambda(d) = \mathbb{d}$.

We have the following lemma from [28].

Lemma 2.5. *For $\mathbb{c}, \mathbb{d} \in \mathbb{C}$, $M(\mathbb{c}, \mathbb{d})$ is irreducible if and only if $\mathbb{c} \neq 0$. If $\mathbb{c} = 0$, then the irreducible quotient $L(\mathbb{d}) = L(0, \mathbb{d}) = \mathbb{C}v_{\mathbb{d}}$ such that*

$$av_{\mathbb{d}} = bv_{\mathbb{d}} = cv_{\mathbb{d}} = 0, \quad dv_{\mathbb{d}} = \mathbb{d}v_{\mathbb{d}}. \tag{2.3}$$

□

Let $M = V(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu})$ for $\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu} \in \mathbb{C}$, where $V(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu})$ is the H_4-module defined as follows: $V(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu}) = \bigoplus\limits_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}v_n$ such that

$$dv_n = (\tilde{\lambda} + n)v_n, \ cv_n = \tilde{\mu}v_n, \ av_n = -\tilde{\mu}v_{n+1}, \ bv_n = (\tilde{\lambda} + \tilde{\nu} + n)v_{n-1}. \tag{2.4}$$

The following result was obtained by M. Willard [30].

Theorem 2.6. *All irreducible weight modules of H_4 with finite-dimensional weight spaces can be classified into the following classes:*

(1) Irreducible modules $L(\mathbb{d})$ for $\mathbb{d} \in \mathbb{C}$.

(2) Irreducible highest weight modules $M(\lambda)$ or irreducible lowest weight modules $M^-(\mu)$.

(3) Intermediate series modules $V(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu})$ defined as (2.4) such that $\tilde{\mu} \neq 0$ and $\tilde{\lambda} + \tilde{\nu} \notin \mathbb{Z}$.

□

To the pair $(H_4, (\ , \ ))$, let $\widehat{H}_4$ be the associated *affine Nappi-Witten Lie algebra* with the underlying vector space

$$\widehat{H}_4 = H_4 \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k} \tag{2.5}$$

equipped with the bracket relations

$$[h_1 \otimes t^m, h_2 \otimes t^n] = [h_1, h_2] \otimes t^{m+n} + m(h_1, h_2)\delta_{m+n,0}\mathbf{k}, \ [\widehat{H}_4, \mathbf{k}] = 0, \tag{2.6}$$

for $h_1, h_2 \in H_4$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is clear that $\widehat{H}_4$ has the $\mathbb{Z}$-grading:

$$\widehat{H}_4 = \coprod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{H}_4^{(n)},$$

where

$$\widehat{H}_4^{(0)} = H_4 \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k}, \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{H}_4^{(n)} = H_4 \otimes t^n \quad \text{for } n \neq 0.$$

Then one has the following graded subalgebras of $\widehat{H}_4$:

$$\widehat{H}_4^{(\pm)} = \coprod_{n>0} \widehat{H}_4^{(\pm n)}, \quad \widehat{H}_4^{(\geq 0)} = \coprod_{n\geq 0} \widehat{H}_4^{(n)} = \widehat{H}_4^{(+)} \oplus H_4 \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k}.$$

Let M be an H_4-module and $\ell \in \mathbb{C}$. Regard M as an $\widehat{H}_4^{(\geq 0)}$-module with $\widehat{H}_4^{(+)}$ acting trivially and $\mathbf{k}$ as the scalar ℓ. The induced $\widehat{H}_4$-module $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\ell, M)$ is defined by

$$V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\ell, M) = \mathrm{Ind}\,_{\widehat{H}_4^{(\geq 0)}}^{\widehat{H}_4}(M) = U(\widehat{H}_4) \otimes_{U(\widehat{H}_4^{(\geq 0)})} M. \tag{2.7}$$

Let $M = L(\mathbb{d}) = \mathbb{C}v_{\mathbb{d}}$ for $\mathbb{d} \in \mathbb{C}$ be the one-dimensional irreducible H_4-module defined as in (2.3). Denote

$$V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\ell, \mathbb{d}) = U(\widehat{H}_4) \otimes_{U(\widehat{H}_4^{(\geq 0)})} \mathbb{C}v_{\mathbb{d}}. \tag{2.8}$$

We have the following result:

Theorem 2.7 ([1])**.** *For $\ell, \mathbb{d} \in \mathbb{C}$, the $\widehat{H}_4$-module $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\ell, \mathbb{d})$ is irreducible if and only if $\ell \neq 0$. Furthermore, if $\ell = 0$, then the irreducible quotient of $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\ell, \mathbb{d})$ is isomorphic to the one-dimensional $\widehat{H}_4$-module $\mathbb{C}v_{\mathbb{d}}$.*

For $h \in H_4$, we define the generating function

$$h(x) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} (h \otimes t^n) x^{-n-1} \in \widehat{H}_4[[x, x^{-1}]].$$

Then the defining relations (2.6) can be equivalently written as

$$[h_1(x_1), h_2(x_2)] = [h_1, h_2](x_2) x_2^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) - (h_1, h_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} x_2^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) \mathbf{k}. \tag{2.9}$$

Given an $\widehat{H}_4$-module W, let $h(n)$ denote the operator on W corresponding to $h \otimes t^n$ for $h \in H_4$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We shall use the notation for the action of $h(x)$ on W:

$$h_W(x) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} h(n) x^{-n-1} \in (\mathrm{End}W)[[x, x^{-1}]]. \tag{2.10}$$

Definition 2.8. Let W be a *restricted* $\widehat{H}_4$-module in the sense that for every $h \in H_4$ and $w \in W$, $h(n)w = 0$ for n sufficiently large. We say that the $\widehat{H}_4$-module W is of level ℓ if the central element $\mathbf{k}$ acts as a scalar ℓ in $\mathbb{C}$.

Let ℓ be a complex number. Consider the induced module defined as (2.8)(let $\mathbb{d} = 0$):

$$V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\ell, 0) = U(\widehat{H}_4) \otimes_{U(\widehat{H}_4^{(\leq 0)})} v_0.$$

Set

$$\mathbf{1} = v_0 \in V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\ell, 0).$$

Then

$$V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\ell, 0) = \coprod_{n \geq 0} V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\ell, 0)_{(n)},$$

where $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\ell, 0)_{(n)}$ is spanned by the vectors

$$h^{(1)}_{-m_1} \cdots h^{(r)}_{-m_r}\mathbf{1}$$

for $r \geq 0,\ h^{(i)} \in H_4,\ m_i \geq 1$, with $n = m_1 + \cdots + m_r$. It is clear that $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\ell, 0)$ is a restricted $\widehat{H}_4$-module of level ℓ. We can regard H_4 as a subspace of $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\ell, 0)$ through the map

$$H_4 \to V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\ell, 0), \quad h \mapsto h(-1)\mathbf{1}.$$

In fact, $H_4 = V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\ell, 0)_{(1)}$.

Theorem 2.9 (cf. [20, 26]). *Let ℓ be any complex number. Then there exists a unique vertex algebra structure $(V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\ell, 0), Y, \mathbf{1})$ on $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\ell, 0)$ such that $\mathbf{1}$ is the vacuum vector and*

$$Y(h, x) = h(x) \in (\mathrm{End} V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\ell, 0))[[x, x^{-1}]]$$

for $h \in H_4$. For $r \geq 0, h^{(i)} \in H_4, n_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, the vertex operator map for this vertex algebra structure is given by

$$Y(h^{(1)}(-n_1) \cdots h^{(r)}(-n_r)\mathbf{1}, x) \;=\; {}^{\circ}_{\circ}\, \partial^{(n_1-1)} h^{(1)}(x) \cdots \partial^{(n_r-1)} h^{(r)}(x) \,{}^{\circ}_{\circ}\, 1,$$

where

$$\partial^{(n)} = \frac{1}{n!}\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^n$$

${}^{\circ}_{\circ}\ {}^{\circ}_{\circ}$ is the normal-ordering operation, and 1 is the identity operator on $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\ell, 0)$.

Proposition 2.10 (cf. [20]). *Any module W for the vertex algebra $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\ell, 0)$ is naturally a restricted $\widehat{H}_4$-module of level ℓ, with $h_W(x) = Y_W(h, x)$ for $h \in H_4$. Conversely, any restricted $\widehat{H}_4$-module W of level ℓ is naturally a $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\ell, 0)$-module as vertex algebra with*

$$Y_W(h^{(1)}(-n_1) \cdots h^{(r)}(-n_r)\mathbf{1}, x) = {}^{\circ}_{\circ}\, \partial^{(n_1-1)} h^{(1)}_W(x) \cdots \partial^{(n_r-1)} h^{(r)}_W(x) \,{}^{\circ}_{\circ}\, 1_W,$$

for $r \geq 0, h^{(i)} \in \widehat{H}_4, n_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Furthermore, for any $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\ell, 0)$-module W, the $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\ell, 0)$-submodules of W coincide with the $\widehat{H}_4$-submodules of W.

Let ℓ be a non-zero complex number. Set

$$\omega \;=\; \frac{1}{\ell}\left(a(-1)b(-1)\mathbf{1} + c(-1)d(-1)\mathbf{1}\right) - \frac{1}{2\ell}c(-2)\mathbf{1} - \frac{1}{2\ell^2}c(-1)c(-1)\mathbf{1} \qquad (2.11)$$

and define operators $L(n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$Y(\omega, x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \omega_n x^{-n-1} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L(n) x^{-n-2}.$$

Then we have the following results from [1].

Theorem 2.11. *Let* ℓ *be a complex number such that* $\ell \neq 0$. *Then the vertex algebra* $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\ell, 0)$ *constructed in Theorem 2.9 is a vertex operator algebra of central charge* 4 *with* ω *defined in (2.11) as the conformal vector. The* $\mathbb{Z}$*-grading on* $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\ell, 0)$ *is given by* $L(0)$*-eigenvalues. Moreover,* $H_4 = V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\ell, 0)_{(1)}$, *which generates* $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\ell, 0)$ *as a vertex algebra, and*

$$[h(n), L(m)] = nh(m+n), \quad \text{for } h \in H_4,\ m, n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Theorem 2.12. *For* $\ell \neq 0$ *and* $\mathbb{d} \in \mathbb{C}$, *the* $\widehat{H}_4$*-module* $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\ell, \mathbb{d})$ *is naturally an irreducible ordinary module for the vertex operator algebra* $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\ell, 0)$. *Furthermore, the modules* $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\ell, \mathbb{d})$ *exhaust all the irreducible ordinary* $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\ell, 0)$*-modules up to equivalence.*

Note that the central charge of the vertex operator algebra $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\ell, 0)$ for $\ell \neq 0$ is independent of ℓ. We have the following result.

Theorem 2.13. *Let* $\ell \neq 0$ *be any nonzero complex number and let* $\sqrt{\ell}$ *be one of the two square roots of* ℓ. *Then the vertex operator algebra* $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\ell, 0)$ *is isomorphic to* $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1, 0)$ *under the following linear map*

$$\rho: \ V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\ell, 0) \to V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1, 0)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & d(-m_{41}) \cdots d(-m_{4i_4}) c(-m_{31}) \cdots c(-m_{3i_3}) \\ & a(-m_{21}) \cdots a(-m_{2i_2}) b(-m_{11}) \cdots b(-m_{1i_1}) \mathbf{1} \\ \to \ & (\sqrt{\ell})^{i_1+i_2} \ell^{i_3} d(-m_{41}) \cdots d(-m_{4i_4}) c(-m_{31}) \cdots c(-m_{3i_3}) \\ & a(-m_{21}) \cdots a(-m_{2i_2}) b(-m_{11}) \cdots b(-m_{1i_1}) \mathbf{1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $i_k \geq 0$, $m_{kj} \geq 1$, $m_{k1} \leq m_{k2} \leq \cdots \leq m_{ki_k}$, $k = 1, \cdots, 4, j = 1, 2, \cdots, i_k$.

Following Theorem 2.13, for the rest of the paper, we always assume that $\ell = 1$.

3 Restricted modules for the affine Lie algebra $\widehat{H}_4$

In this section, we will discuss the structures of restricted $\widehat{H}_4$-modules under some conditions which are natural from the point of view of representations of vertex algebras. We first have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let* W *be an irreducible* $\widehat{H}_4$*-module, then* $c(0)$ *and* $\mathbf{k}$ *act as scalars on* W.

Proof: Note that $\widehat{H}_4$ has countable basis and W is irreducible. So W is of countable dimension over $\mathbb{C}$. Then the lemma follows from the fact that $c(0)$ and $\mathbf{k}$ are in the center of $\widehat{H}_4$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_{\widehat{H}_4}(W, W) = \mathbb{C}$. □

Let $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{C}c \oplus \mathbb{C}d$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k}$ be the associated subalgebra of the affine Lie algebra $\widehat{H}_4$.

We now introduce a subalgebra $\widehat{H}_4(m,\epsilon)$ of $\widehat{H}_4$ for given $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\epsilon \in \{0,1\}$ as follows:

$$\widehat{H}_4(m,\epsilon) = (\bigoplus_{n\geq -m} \mathbb{C}a\otimes t^n)\bigoplus(\bigoplus_{n\geq m+\epsilon} \mathbb{C}b\otimes t^n)\bigoplus((\mathbb{C}c\bigoplus\mathbb{C}d)\otimes\mathbb{C}[t])\bigoplus\mathbb{C}\mathbf{k}. \tag{3.1}$$

Let $0\neq\alpha = \mathbb{d}c+\mathbb{c}d\in\mathfrak{h}^*$. We define a one-dimensional $\widehat{H}_4(m,\epsilon)$-module $\mathbb{C}_\alpha = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}_\alpha$ by

$$(a\otimes t^s)\mathbf{1}_\alpha = (b\otimes t^r)\mathbf{1}_\alpha = (c\otimes t^l)\mathbf{1}_\alpha = (d\otimes t^l)\mathbf{1}_\alpha = 0,\ s\geq -m,\ r\geq m+\epsilon,\ l\geq 1,$$

$$c\mathbf{1}_\alpha = \mathbb{c}\mathbf{1}_\alpha,\ d\mathbf{1}_\alpha = \mathbb{d}\mathbf{1}_\alpha,\ \mathbf{k}\mathbf{1}_\alpha = \mathbf{1}_\alpha.$$

Then we get a generalized Verma type module $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,(m,\epsilon),\mathbf{1}_\alpha)$ as follows:

$$V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,(m,\epsilon),\mathbf{1}_\alpha) = U(\widehat{H}_4)\otimes_{U(\widehat{H}_4(m,\epsilon))}\mathbb{C}_\alpha. \tag{3.2}$$

If $m=\mathbb{c}\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $\epsilon=0$, we briefly denote $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,(m,\epsilon),\mathbf{1}_\alpha)$ by $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,\mathbb{c},\mathbf{1}_\alpha)$. Note that

$$V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,0,\mathbf{1}_\alpha) = V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,\mathbb{d}).$$

We first consider the module $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,\mathbb{c},\mathbf{1}_\alpha)$ for $\mathbb{c}\in\mathbb{Z}$. We give the first main result of this section as follows.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $0\neq\alpha=\mathbb{d}c+\mathbb{c}d\in\mathfrak{h}^*$ such that $\mathbb{c}\in\mathbb{Z}$. Then the generalized Verma type $\widehat{H}_4$-module $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,\mathbb{c},\mathbf{1}_\alpha)$ is irreducible.*

Proof: It is clear that $\sigma:\widehat{H}_4\to\widehat{H}_4$ defined by $\sigma(a\otimes t^n)=b\otimes t^n$, $\sigma(b\otimes t^n)=a\otimes t^n$, $\sigma(c\otimes t^n)=-c\otimes t^n$, $\sigma(d\otimes t^n)=-d\otimes t^n$, $n\in\mathbb{Z}$, $\sigma(\mathbf{k})=\mathbf{k}$ is an automorphism of $\widehat{H}_4$. So we may assume that $\mathbb{c}\geq 0$. By PBW theorem and the definition of $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,\mathbb{c},\mathbf{1}_\alpha)$,

$$\mathbf{1}_\alpha, d(m_{41})\cdots d(m_{4i_4})c(m_{31})\cdots c(m_{3i_3})a(m_{21})\cdots a(m_{2i_2})b(m_{11})\cdots b(m_{1i_1})\mathbf{1}_\alpha,$$

such that $i_k\geq 0$, $m_{k1}\leq m_{k2}\leq\cdots\leq m_{ki_k}$, $m_{4j},m_{3j}\leq -1$, $m_{2j}\leq -\mathbb{c}-1$, $m_{1j}\leq\mathbb{c}-1$, $k=1,\cdots,4, j=1,2,\cdots,i_k$, is a basis of $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,\mathbb{c},\mathbf{1}_\alpha)$.

For $n\in\mathbb{Z}_+$, let

$$p_n = c(n),\quad q_n = d(-n).$$

Then

$$[p_m,q_n] = m\delta_{m,n}\mathbf{k}.$$

Therefore

$$\mathfrak{s} = \mathop{\oplus}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+}(\mathbb{C}p_n\oplus\mathbb{C}q_n)\oplus\mathbb{C}\mathbf{k}$$

is a Heisenberg algebra and $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,\mathbb{c},\mathbf{1}_\alpha)$ is an $\mathfrak{s}$-module such that $\mathbf{k}$ acts as 1. Since every highest weight $\mathfrak{s}$-module generated by one element with $\mathbf{k}$ acting as a non-zero scalar is irreducible, it follows that $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,\mathbb{c},\mathbf{1}_\alpha)$ can be decomposed into a direct sum of irreducible highest weight modules of $\mathfrak{s}$ with the highest weight vectors

$$\mathbf{1}_\alpha, c(m_{31})\cdots c(m_{3i_3})a(m_{21})\cdots a(m_{2i_2})b(m_{11})\cdots b(m_{1i_1})\mathbf{1}_\alpha,$$

such that $i_k \geq 0$, $m_{k1} \leq m_{k2} \leq \cdots \leq m_{ki_k}$, $m_{3j} \leq -1$, $m_{2j} \leq -\mathbb{c} - 1$, $m_{1j} \leq \mathbb{c} - 1$, $k = 1, 2, 3, j = 1, 2, \cdots, i_k$. For convenience, we denote the set of such highest weight vectors by $\mathcal{N}$. Set

$$\widehat{H}_4(\mathbb{c}, +) = (\bigoplus_{n \geq -\mathbb{c}} \mathbb{C}a \otimes t^n) \bigoplus (\bigoplus_{n \geq \mathbb{c}} \mathbb{C}b \otimes t^n) \bigoplus ((\mathbb{C}c \bigoplus \mathbb{C}d) \otimes \mathbb{C}t[t])$$

Let U be a non-zero submodule of $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1, \mathbb{c}, \mathbf{1}_\alpha)$. It suffices to prove that $\mathbf{1}_\alpha \in U$. Let u be a non-zero element in U. Since as an $\mathfrak{s}$-module, U is a direct sum of irreducible highest weight $\mathfrak{s}$-modules, we may assume that

$$u = \sum_{i=1}^{s} a_i c(m_{31}^{(i)}) \cdots c(m_{3i_3}^{(i)}) a(m_{21}^{(i)}) \cdots a(m_{2i_2}^{(i)}) b(m_{11}^{(i)}) \cdots b(m_{1i_1}^{(i)}) b(n_{i1}) \cdots b(n_{ir_i}) \mathbf{1}_\alpha,$$

where $0 \neq a_i \in \mathbb{C}$, $i_k \geq 0$, $m_{k1}^{(i)} \leq m_{k2}^{(i)} \leq \cdots \leq m_{ki_k}^{(i)}$, $m_{3j}^{(i)} \leq -1$, $m_{2j}^{(i)} \leq -\mathbb{c} - 1$, $m_{1j}^{(i)} \leq -1$, $0 \leq n_{i1}, \cdots, n_{ir_i} \leq \mathbb{c} - 1$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, s$, $k = 1, 2, 3, j = 1, 2, \cdots, i_k$, and $\sum_{j=1}^{1_1} m_{1j}^{(1)} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{2_1} m_{1j}^{(2)} \leq \cdots \leq \sum_{j=1}^{s_1} m_{1j}^{(s)}$. Let

$$u^{(i)} = c(m_{31}^{(i)}) \cdots c(m_{3i_3}^{(i)}) a(m_{21}^{(i)}) \cdots a(m_{2i_2}^{(i)}) b(m_{11}^{(i)}) \cdots b(m_{1i_1}^{(i)}) b(n_{i1}) \cdots b(n_{ir_i}) \mathbf{1}_\alpha,\ i = 1, 2, \cdots, s.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & a(-m_{11_1}^{(1)}) \cdots a(-m_{12}^{(1)}) a(-m_{11}^{(1)}) u^{(1)} \\ & = q \prod_{j=1}^{1_1} (\mathbb{c} - m_{1j}^{(1)}) c(m_{31}^{(1)}) \cdots c(m_{31_3}^{(i)}) a(m_{21}^{(1)}) \cdots a(m_{21_2}^{(i)}) b(n_{i1}) \cdots b(n_{ir_i}) \mathbf{1}_\alpha \\ & \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

for some $0 \neq q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and for the case $b(m_{11}^{(i)}) \cdots b(m_{1i_1}^{(i)}) \neq b(m_{11}^{(1)}) \cdots b(m_{11_1}^{(1)})$, we have

$$a(-m_{11_1}^{(1)}) \cdots a(-m_{12}^{(1)}) a(-m_{11}^{(1)}) u^{(i)} = 0.$$

We may further assume that

$$u = \sum_{i=1}^{s} a_i c(m_{31}^{(i)}) \cdots c(m_{3i_3}^{(i)}) a(m_{21}^{(i)}) \cdots a(m_{2i_2}^{(i)}) b(n_{i1}) \cdots b(n_{ir_i}) \mathbf{1}_\alpha,$$

and

$$u^{(i)} = c(m_{31}^{(i)}) \cdots c(m_{3i_3}^{(i)}) a(m_{21}^{(i)}) \cdots a(m_{2i_2}^{(i)}) b(n_{i1}) \cdots b(n_{ir_i}) \mathbf{1}_\alpha,\ i = 1, 2, \cdots, s,$$

where $0 \neq a_i \in \mathbb{C}$, $i_k \geq 0$, $m_{k1}^{(i)} \leq m_{k2}^{(i)} \leq \cdots \leq m_{ki_k}^{(i)}$, $m_{3j}^{(i)} \leq -1$, $m_{2j}^{(i)} \leq -\mathbb{c} - 1$, $0 \leq n_{i1} \leq \cdots \leq n_{ir_i} \leq \mathbb{c} - 1$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, s$, $k = 1, 2, j = 1, 2, \cdots, i_k$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{1_2} m_{2j}^{(1)} \leq$

$\sum_{j=1}^{2_2} m_{2j}^{(2)} \leq \cdots \leq \sum_{j=1}^{s_2} m_{2j}^{(s)}$. Note also that

$$\begin{aligned} & b(-m_{21_2}^{(1)}) \cdots b(-m_{22}^{(1)}) b(-m_{21}^{(1)}) u^{(1)} \\ & = q_1 \prod_{j=1}^{1_1} (-\mathbb{c} - m_{2j}^{(1)}) c(m_{31}^{(1)}) \cdots c(m_{31_3}^{(i)}) b(n_{i1}) \cdots b(n_{ir_i}) \mathbf{1}_\alpha \\ & \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

for some $0 \neq q_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and for the case $a(m_{21}^{(i)}) \cdots a(m_{2i_2}^{(i)}) \neq a(m_{21}^{(1)}) \cdots b(m_{21_2}^{(1)})$, we have

$$b(-m_{21_2}^{(1)}) \cdots b(-m_{22}^{(1)}) b(-m_{21}^{(1)}) u^{(i)} = 0.$$

We may also assume that

$$u = \sum_{i=1}^{s} a_i c(m_{i1}) \cdots c(m_{ip_i}) b(n_{i1}) \cdots b(n_{ir_i}) \mathbf{1}_\alpha,$$

where $0 \neq a_i \in \mathbb{C}$, $p_i, r_i \geq 0$, $m_{i1} \leq m_{i2} \leq \cdots \leq m_{ip_i} \leq -1$, $0 \leq n_{i1} \leq \cdots \leq n_{ir_i} \leq \mathbb{c} - 1$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, s$. Note that $[d, b] = -b$, so we may assume that

$$r_1 = r_2 = \cdots = r_s = r.$$

If $r = 0$, then by the fact that $[c(p), d(q)] = p\delta_{p+q,0}k$, it is easy to see that $\mathbf{1}_\alpha \in U$. If $r \neq 0$. Assume that

$$(n_{i1}, n_{i2}, \cdots, n_{ir}) \leq (n_{i+1,1}, n_{i+1,2} \cdots, n_{i+1,r}), \ i = 1, 2, \cdots, r$$

in the sense that

$$n_{i1} \leq n_{i2} \leq \cdots \leq n_{ir},$$

and

$$n_{i1} = n_{i+1,1}, \cdots, n_{ik} = n_{i+1,k}, n_{i,k+1} < n_{i+1,k+1}, \quad \text{for some } k.$$

Then

$$a(-n_{1r}) \cdots a(-n_{11}) c(m_{11}) \cdots c(m_{1p_1}) b(n_{11}) \cdots b(n_{1r}) = kc(m_{11}) \cdots c(m_{1p_1}) \mathbf{1}_\alpha \neq 0$$

and for the case that $(n_{11}, \cdots, n_{1r}) < (n_{i1}, \cdots, n_{ir})$, we have

$$a(-n_{1r}) \cdots a(-n_{11}) c(m_{i1}) \cdots c(m_{ip_i}) b(n_{i1}) \cdots b(n_{ir}) \mathbf{1}_\alpha = 0.$$

So

$$a(-n_{1r}) \cdots a(-n_{11}) u = \sum_{i=1}^{r_1} c_i c(m_{11}) \cdots c(m_{1p_1}) \mathbf{1}_\alpha \in U,$$

for some $r_1 \leq r$ and $c_i \neq 0, i = 1, 2, \cdots, r_1$. From this we deduce that $\mathbf{1}_\alpha \in U$. ☐

Next we consider the second class of generalized Verma type module $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,(m,\epsilon),\mathbf{1}_\alpha)$ for $\epsilon = 1$. Let $0 \neq \alpha = \mathbb{d}c + \mathbb{c}d \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, $(m,1) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, and let $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,(m,1),\mathbf{1}_\alpha)$ be the generalized Verma type $\widehat{H}_4$-module defined by (3.2). For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, define

$$\begin{aligned} &\deg a(-n-m) = n-1,\ \deg b(-n+m) = n+1, \\ &\deg c(-n) = n,\ \deg d(-n) = n, \quad \deg \mathbf{k} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then $U(\widehat{H}_4)$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-graded. So we get an $\mathbb{N}$-gradation of $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,(m,1),\mathbf{1}_\alpha)$ by defining $\deg \mathbf{1}_\alpha = 0$. Let

$$\widehat{H}_4((m,1),+) = (\bigoplus_{n\geq -m} \mathbb{C}a\otimes t^n)\bigoplus(\bigoplus_{n\geq m+1}\mathbb{C}b\otimes t^n)\bigoplus((\mathbb{C}c\bigoplus\mathbb{C}d)\otimes\mathbb{C}t[t]) \qquad (3.3)$$

A homogeneous element u in $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,(m,1),\mathbf{1}_\alpha)$ is called a homogeneous singular vector if

$$x \cdot u = 0,$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}(\widehat{H}_4((m,1),+)$. It is obvious that the module generated by a homogeneous singular vector is a proper submodule. The following is the second main result of this section.

Theorem 3.3. *For $0 \neq \alpha = \mathbb{d}c+\mathbb{c}d \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ and $(m,1) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, the $\widehat{H}_4$-module $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,(m,1),\mathbf{1}_\alpha)$ is irreducible if and only if $\mathbb{c} \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Furthermore, we have*

(i) If $\mathbb{c} = m$, then $u = b(m)\mathbf{1}_\alpha$ is a singular vector and $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,(m,1),\mathbf{1}_\alpha)/\mathcal{U}(\widehat{H}_4)u$ is irreducible and isomorphic to $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,\mathbb{c},\mathbf{1}_\alpha)$.

(ii) If $\mathbb{c} + n = m$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \setminus \{0\}$, then all the linearly independent singular vectors are

$$u^k = [\sum_{\lambda\in P(n)}(a_\lambda c(-\lambda)b(m) + \sum_{i=1}^{k_\lambda} b_{\lambda\setminus\lambda_i,\lambda_i}c(-\lambda\setminus\lambda_i)b(-\lambda_i+m)]^k\mathbf{1}_\alpha,$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} &a_\lambda q_i\lambda_i - b_{\lambda\setminus\lambda_i,\lambda_i} = 0,\ i = 1,2,\cdots,k_\lambda, \\ &b_{\lambda\setminus\lambda_i,\lambda_i}(-n+\lambda_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{k_\lambda} b_{\lambda\setminus\lambda_i\setminus\lambda_j,\lambda_i+\lambda_j} = 0; \end{aligned}$$

(iii) If $\mathbb{c} = m + n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \setminus \{0\}$, then all the linearly independent singular vectors are

$$u^k = [\sum_{\lambda\in P(n)}\sum_{i=1}^{k_\lambda} c_{\lambda\setminus\lambda_i,\lambda_i}c(-\lambda\setminus\lambda_i)a(-\lambda_i-m)]^k\mathbf{1}_\alpha,$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, *satisfying*

$$q_i\lambda_i c_{\lambda\setminus\lambda_j,\lambda_j} + c_{\lambda\setminus\lambda_i\setminus\lambda_j,\lambda_i+\lambda_j} = 0,\ i,j = 1,2,\cdots,k_\lambda, i \neq j,$$

$$c_{\lambda\setminus\lambda_i,\lambda_i}(-n+\lambda_i) - \sum_{j=1}^{k_\lambda} c_{\lambda\setminus\lambda_i\setminus\lambda_j,\lambda_i+\lambda_j} = 0,\ i = 1,2,\cdots,k_\lambda,$$

where in (ii) and (iii), $P(n)$ *is the set of all partitions of weight* n,

$$\lambda^{(k)} = (\lambda,\lambda,\cdots,\lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k, \quad \lambda = (\lambda_1^{(q_1)},\lambda_2^{(q_2)},\cdots,\lambda_{k_\lambda}^{(q_{k_\lambda})})$$

such that $\sum_{i=1}^{k_\lambda} q_i\lambda_i = n$, *and* $\lambda\setminus\lambda_i = (\lambda_1^{(q_1)},\cdots,\lambda_i^{(q_i-1)},\cdots,\lambda_{k_\lambda}^{(q_{k_\lambda})})$ *and if* $\lambda = \lambda_i$, *then* $c(-\lambda\setminus\lambda_i)b(-\lambda_i+m) = b(-\lambda_i+m)$, $c(-\lambda\setminus\lambda_i)a(-\lambda_i-m) = a(-\lambda_i-m)$.

Proof: If $\mathbb{c} = m$, then it is easy to see that $b(m)\mathbf{1}_\alpha$ is a singular vector. Then (i) follows from Theorem 3.2.

We now assume that $\mathbb{c} \neq m$.

Let $\mathfrak{s}$ be the infinite-dimensional Heisenberg algebra defined in the proof of Theorem 3.2. Then as an $\mathfrak{s}$-module, $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,(m,1),\mathbf{1}_\alpha)$ is a direct sum of irreducible highest weight $\mathfrak{s}$-modules with $\mathbf{k}$ acting as 1 and highest weight vectors in

$$\mathcal{N}_\alpha = \{b(m)^i\mathbf{1}_\alpha, c(-\lambda)a(-\mu)b(-\nu)b(m)^i\mathbf{1}_\alpha, y_1(-\lambda)y_2(-\mu)b(m)^i\mathbf{1}_\alpha,$$

$$x(-\lambda)b(m)^i\mathbf{1}_\alpha \mid i \geq 0, (y_1,y_2) \in \{(a,b),(c,a),(c,b)\}, x \in \{a,b,c\}, \lambda,\mu,\nu \in \mathcal{P}\},$$

where for a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_r)$,

$$d(-\lambda) = d(-\lambda_1)d(-\lambda_2)\cdots d(-\lambda_r),$$

$$c(-\lambda) = c(-\lambda_1)c(-\lambda_2)\cdots c(-\lambda_r),$$

$$a(-\lambda) = a(-\lambda_1-m)a(-\lambda_2-m)\cdots a(-\lambda_r-m),$$

$$b(-\lambda) = b(-\lambda_1+m)b(-\lambda_2+m)\cdots b(-\lambda_r+m).$$

Suppose that $u \in V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,(m,1),\mathbf{1}_\alpha)$ is a singular vector such that

$$U(\widehat{H}_4^+)u = 0.$$

Since $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,(m,1),\mathbf{1}_\alpha)$ is a direct sum of irreducible highest weight $\mathfrak{s}$-modules and u is homogeneous, we may assume that

$$u = \sum_{i=1}^{3} u_i,$$

where

$$u_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{l_1} a_{1j}c(-\lambda^{(1j)})a(-\mu^{(1j)})b(-\nu^{(1j)})b(m)^{k_{1j}}\mathbf{1}_\alpha,$$

for $(\lambda^{(1j)}, \mu^{(1j)}, \nu^{(1j)}) \succ (\lambda^{(1,j+1)}, \mu^{(1,j+1)}, \nu^{(1,j+1)}), j = 1, \cdots, l_1 - 1$,

$$u_2 = u_{21} + u_{22} + u_{23},$$

where

$$u_{21} = \sum_{j=1}^{l_2} a_{2j} c(-\lambda^{(2j)}) a(-\mu^{(2j)}) b(m)^{k_{2j}} \mathbf{1}_\alpha, \ u_{22} = \sum_{j=1}^{l_3} a_{3j} c(-\lambda^{(3j)}) b(-\nu^{(3j)}) b(m)^{k_{3j}} \mathbf{1}_\alpha,$$

$$u_{23} = \sum_{j=1}^{l_4} a_{4j} a(-\mu^{(4j)}) b(-\nu^{(4j)}) b(m)^{k_{4j}} \mathbf{1}_\alpha,$$

for $(\lambda^{(2j)}, \mu^{(2j)}) \succ (\lambda^{(2,j+1)}, \mu^{(2,j+1)}), j = 1, \cdots, l_2 - 1$; $(\lambda^{(3j)}, \nu^{(3j)}) \succ (\lambda^{(3,j+1)}, \mu^{(3,j+1)}), j = 1, \cdots, l_3 - 1$; $(\mu^{(4j)}, \nu^{(4j)}) \succ (\mu^{(4,j+1)}, \nu^{(4,j+1)}), j = 1, \cdots, l_4 - 1$, and

$$u_3 = u_{31} + u_{32} + u_{33},$$

where

$$u_{31} = \sum_{j=1}^{l_5} a_{5j} c(-\lambda^{(5j)}) b(m)^{k_{5j}} \mathbf{1}_\alpha,$$

$$u_{32} = \sum_{j=1}^{l_6} a_{6j} a(-\mu^{(6j)}) b(m)^{k_{6j}} \mathbf{1}_\alpha, \ u_{33} = \sum_{j=1}^{l_7} a_{7j} b(-\nu^{(7j)}) b(m)^{k_{7j}} \mathbf{1}_\alpha,$$

for $\lambda^{(5j)} \succ \lambda^{(5,j+1)}, j = 1, \cdots, l_5 - 1$; $\mu^{(6j)} \succ \mu^{(6,j+1)}, j = 1, \cdots, l_6 - 1$; $\nu^{(7j)} \succ \nu^{(7,j+1)}, j = 1, \cdots, l_7 - 1$.

Case 1 $\mathbb{c} \notin \mathbb{Z}$. One can prove that $u = 0$ by the same method used in the proof of Theorem 3.2. Therefore $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1, (m, 1), \alpha)$ is irreducible.

Case 2 $\mathbb{c} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{c} \neq m$.

Since $au = 0$, it is easy to see that $k_{ij} = 0$, for $i = 4, 6, 7$. If $u_{33} \neq 0$, we consider $a(\nu_1^{(71)})u$. Since no monomial of $a(\nu_1^{(71)})u_1$, $a(\nu_1^{(71)})u_2$, $a(\nu_1^{(71)})u_{31}$ and $a(\nu_1^{(71)})u_{32}$ is of the form $b(-\eta)$, where $\eta \in \mathcal{P}$, we deduce that

$$\mathbb{c} + \nu_1^{(71)} - m = 0.$$

Similarly, considering $a(\nu_{r_{7p}}^{(7p)})u$, where $\nu_{r_{7p}}^{(7p)} = min\{\nu_{r_{7j}}^{(7j)}, \ j = 1, 2, \cdots, l_7\}$, we have

$$\mathbb{c} + \nu_{r_{7p}}^{(7p)} - m = 0.$$

Then we deduce that $l_7 = 1$ and $u_{33} = a_{71}(b(-\nu_1^{(71)}))^{k_3} \mathbf{1}_\alpha$ such that

$$\mathbb{c} + \nu_1^{(71)} - m = 0, \quad k_3(\nu_1^{(71)} + 1) = \deg u.$$

If $u_{32} \neq 0$, then by the fact that $b(\mu_1^{(61)})u = 0$, we have

$$\mathbb{c} - (\mu_1^{(61)} + m) = 0.$$

Therefore

$$\mu_1^{(61)}+\nu_1^{(71)}=0,$$

which is impossible since $\mu_1^{(61)}+\nu_1^{(71)}>0$. We deduce that $u_{32}=0$ or $u_{33}=0$.

Subcase 1 $u_{33}\neq 0$, $u_{32}=0$.

By the fact that $b(\mu_1^{(41)})u=b(\mu_1^{(41)})u_{31}=b(\mu_1^{(41)})u_{33}=b(\mu_1^{(41)})u_{22}=0$, and both $b(\mu_1^{(41)})u_1$ and $b(\mu_1^{(41)})u_{21}$ contain no monomials of the forms $a(-\lambda)b(-\mu)$ and $b(-\mu)$, where $\lambda,\mu\in\mathcal{P}$, we have

$$b(\mu_1^{(41)})u_{23}=\sum_{j=1}^{l_4}a_{4j}\sum_{p=1}^{r_{4j}}\delta_{\mu_1^{(41)},\mu_p^{(4j)}}(-\mathbb{c}+\mu_1^{(41)}+m)$$

$$\cdot a(-\mu^{(4j)})a\widehat{(-\mu_p^{(4j)})}b(-\nu^{(4j)})\mathbf{1}_\alpha=0,$$

where $a\widehat{(-\mu_p^{(4j)})}$ means this factor is deleted. So if $u_{23}\neq 0$, then $-\mathbb{c}+\mu_1^{(41)}+m=0$, which is impossible since $\mathbb{c}+\nu_1^{(71)}-m=0$. This proves that $u_{23}=0$. Let $Y=b(\mu_1^{(21)})$, then $Yu=Yu_1+Yu_{21}=0$. If $u_{21}\neq 0$, comparing Yu_1 and Yu_{21}, we have

$$-\mathbb{c}+\mu_1^{(21)}+m=0,$$

which is not true. So $u_{21}=0$. Similarly, $u_1=0$. Therefore

$$u=u_{22}+u_{31}+u_{33}.$$

By the fact that $a(\nu_1^{(31)})u=0$, we can easily deduce that $\nu^{(3j)}\preceq\nu^{(71)}$, $j=1,\cdots,l_3$. Similarly, we have $\lambda^{(3j)}\preceq\nu^{(71)},\lambda^{(5k)}\preceq\nu^{(71)}$, $j=1,\cdots,l_3,k=1,\cdots,l_5$. If $\nu_1^{(71)}=1$, then $\mathbb{c}+1-m=0$, $u_{33}=a_{71}b(-1)^{k_3}\mathbf{1}_\alpha$ and

$$u_{22}=\sum_{j=1}^{l_3}a_{3j}c(-1)^{p_{3j}}b(-1)^{q_{3j}}b(m)^{k_{3j}}\mathbf{1}_\alpha,\ u_{31}=\sum_{j=1}^{l_5}a_{5j}c(-1)^{p_{5j}}b(m)^{k_{5j}}\mathbf{1}_\alpha.$$

Since $d(1)u=0$, we have

$$u_{22}+u_{31}+u_{33}=k(\sum_{j=0}^{k_3}C_{k_3}^{j}c(-1)^{k_3-j}b(-1+m)^jb(m)^{k_3-j}\mathbf{1}_\alpha),$$

for some $k\in\mathbb{C}$. Let

$$u=\sum_{j=0}^{k_3}C_{k_3}^{j}c(-1)^{k_3-j}b(-1+m)^jb(m)^{k_3-j}\mathbf{1}_\alpha=(c(-1)b(m)+b(-1+m))^{k_3}\mathbf{1}_\alpha.$$

Then we have

$$a(-m)(c(-1)b(m)+b(-1+m))=(c(-1)b(m)+b(-1+m))a(-m).$$

Thus

$$a(-m)u = 0.$$

It is clear that $a(r-m)u = b(r+m+1)u = c(r+1)u = d(r+1)u = 0$, for $r \geq 0$. We prove that u is a non-zero singular vector for each $k_3 \in \mathbb{N}$.

Assume that $\nu_1^{(71)} > 1$. If $\nu_1^{(3j)} = 1$ for all $j = 1, 2, \cdots, l_3$. Then $\nu_1^{(71)} = 2$. So $\mathbb{c} + 2 - m = 0$. In fact, if $\nu_1^{(71)} > 2$, then $a(\nu_1^{(71)} - 1 - m)u_{22} = a(\nu_1^{(71)} - 1 - m)u_{31} = 0$, $a(\nu_1^{(71)} - 1 - m)u_{33} \neq 0$, a contradiction. If $k_3 > 1$, then $a(1-m)u_{31} = 0$, and $a(1-m)u_{33}$ contains factor $b(-2+m)$, but no monomial of $a(1-m)u_{22}$ contains factor $b(-2+m)$. So $u_{33} = u_{22} = 0$. Then $u_{31} = 0$ and we deduce that $u = 0$. If $k_3 = 1$, then $u_{33} = a_{71}b(-2+m)\mathbf{1}_\alpha$ and one can easily deduce that

$$u = (c(-2)b(m) + c(-1)^2 b(m) + 2c(-1)b(-1+m) + 2b(-2+m))\mathbf{1}_\alpha$$

is a singular vector. Generally, for $\nu_1^{(71)} = 2$, we have $\mathbb{c} + 2 - m = 0$ and

$$u = (c(-2)b(m) + c(-1)^2 b(m) + 2c(-1)b(-1+m) + 2b(-2+m))^k \mathbf{1}_\alpha, \quad k \geq 1.$$

Now assume that $\nu_1^{(71)} = n > 2$ and $l(\nu^{(71)}) = 1$. Note that $\mathbb{c} + n - m = 0$. Let $1 \leq p \leq l_3$ be such that $l(\nu^{(3p)}) = max\{l(\nu^{(3j)}), j = 1, 2, \cdots, l_3\}$ and if $l(\nu^{(3q)}) = l(\nu^{(3p)})$, then $\nu^{(3p)} \succeq \nu^{(3q)}$. If $l(\nu^{(3p)}) > 1$, then $d(\lambda^{(3p)})u \neq 0$, a contradiction. So $l(\nu^{(3j)}) = 1$ for all $j = 1, 2, \cdots, l_3$. It follows that $k_{3j} = 0, j = 1, \cdots, l_3$ since $a(-m)u = 0$. For $x \in \mathbb{Z}_+, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, denote $(x, \cdots, x) \in \mathbb{N}^k$ by $x^{(k)}$. Then

$$u = \sum_{\lambda \in P(n)} [a_\lambda c(-\lambda)b(m) + \sum_{i=1}^{k_\lambda} b_{\lambda\backslash\lambda_i,\lambda_i} c(-\lambda \setminus \lambda_i)b(-\lambda_i)]\mathbf{1}_\alpha,$$

where $P(n)$ is the set of all partitions of weight n, $\lambda = (\lambda_1^{(q_1)}, \lambda_2^{(q_2)}, \cdots, \lambda_{k_\lambda}^{(q_{k_\lambda})})$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{k_\lambda} q_i\lambda_i = n$, and $\lambda \setminus \lambda_i = (\lambda_1^{(q_1)}, \cdots, \lambda_i^{(q_i-1)}, \cdots, \lambda_{k_\lambda}^{(q_{k_\lambda})})$ and if $\lambda = \lambda_i$, then $c(-\lambda\backslash\lambda_i)b(-\lambda_i+m) = b(-\lambda_i+m)$. By the fact that $d(r+1)u = a(r-m)u = b(r+m+1)u = 0$ for $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and $\mathbb{c} + n - m = 0$, we deduce that a_λ, $b_{\lambda\backslash\lambda_i,\lambda_i}, i = 1, 2, \cdots, k_\lambda$ are uniquely determined by the following equations up to a non-zero scalar.

$$a_\lambda q_i \lambda_i - b_{\lambda\backslash\lambda_i,\lambda_i} = 0, \; i = 1, 2, \cdots, k_\lambda, \tag{3.4}$$

$$b_{\lambda\backslash\lambda_i,\lambda_i}(-n + \lambda_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{k_\lambda} b_{\lambda\backslash\lambda_i\backslash\lambda_j,\lambda_i+\lambda_j} = 0. \tag{3.5}$$

It is easy to check that the u determined by (3.4) and (3.5) is indeed a non-zero singular vector. Generally

$$u = [\sum_{\lambda \in P(n)} (a_\lambda c(-\lambda)b(m) + \sum_{i=1}^{k_\lambda} b_{\lambda\backslash\lambda_i,\lambda_i} c(-\lambda \setminus \lambda_i)b(-\lambda_i))]^k \mathbf{1}_\alpha, \; k = 1, 2, \cdots$$

satisfying (3.4) and (3.5) are all the linearly independent singular vectors.

Subcase 2 $u_{33}=0$, $u_{32}\neq 0$. Then $-\mathbb{c}+\mu_1^{(61)}+m=0$. Similar to the proof for Subcase 1, we can deduce that $u_1=u_{22}=u_{23}=0$, $l_6=1$, $\mu^{(61)}=(\mu_1^{(61)},\cdots,\mu_1^{(61)})$ and $k_{2i}=k_{5j}=0, i=1,2,\cdots,l_2, j=1,2,\cdots,l_5$.

We first assume that $l(\mu^{(61)})=1$ and $\mu_1^{(61)}=n$. Then it is easy to see that $l(\mu^{(2j)})=1$, $j=1,2,\cdots,l_2$, $u_{21}=0$. Therefore

$$u=\sum_{\lambda\in P(n)}\sum_{i=1}^{k_\lambda}c_{\lambda\setminus\lambda_i,\lambda_i}c(-\lambda\setminus\lambda_i)a(-\lambda_i))\mathbf{1}_\alpha,$$

where $P(n)$ is the set of all partitions of weight n, $\lambda^{(k)}=(\lambda,\lambda,\cdots,\lambda)\in\mathbb{Z}_+^k$, $\lambda=(\lambda_1^{(q_1)},\lambda_2^{(q_2)},\cdots,\lambda_{k_\lambda}^{(q_{k_\lambda})})$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{k_\lambda}q_i\lambda_i=n$, and $\lambda\setminus\lambda_i=(\lambda_1^{(q_1)},\cdots,\lambda_i^{(q_i-1)},\cdots,\lambda_{k_\lambda}^{(q_{k_\lambda})})$. By $d(n)u=b(n)u=0$ for $n\geq 1$, we deduce that

$$q_i\lambda_i c_{\lambda\setminus\lambda_j,\lambda_j}+c_{\lambda\setminus\lambda_i\setminus\lambda_j,\lambda_i+\lambda_j}=0,\ i,j=1,2,\cdots,k_\lambda,i\neq j, \tag{3.6}$$

$$c_{\lambda\setminus\lambda_i,\lambda_i}(-n+\lambda_i)-\sum_{j=1}^{k_\lambda}c_{\lambda\setminus\lambda_i\setminus\lambda_j,\lambda_i+\lambda_j}=0,\ i=1,2,\cdots,k_\lambda. \tag{3.7}$$

Actually, u is uniquely determined by (3.6) and (3.7).

Next for the case that $l(\mu^{(61)})>1$ and $\mu_1^{(61)}=n$, one can easily deduce that

$$u=[\sum_{\lambda\in P(n)}\sum_{i=1}^{k_\lambda}c_{\lambda\setminus\lambda_i,\lambda_i}c(-\lambda\setminus\lambda_i)a(-\lambda_i))]^k\mathbf{1}_\alpha,\ k\in\mathbb{Z}_+$$

satisfying (3.6) and (3.7) for some $k\in\mathbb{N}$.

Conversely, for $k\in\mathbb{Z}$, $k\geq 1$, it is easy to check that

$$u=[\sum_{\lambda\in P(n)}\sum_{i=1}^{k_\lambda}c_{\lambda\setminus\lambda_i,\lambda_i}c(-\lambda\setminus\lambda_i)a(-\lambda_i))]^k\mathbf{1}_\alpha,\ k\in\mathbb{Z}_+$$

satisfying (3.6) and (3.7) is a singular vector. The proof of the theorem is complete. □

Corollary 3.4. *(1) If $\mathbb{c}+n=m$ for some $n\in\mathbb{Z}_+$, then*

$$L_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,(m,1),\mathbf{1}_\alpha)=V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,(m,1),\mathbf{1}_\alpha)/U(\widehat{H}_4)u^1$$

is irreducible, where

$$u^1=\sum_{\lambda\in P(n)}(a_\lambda c(-\lambda)b(m)+\sum_{i=1}^{k_\lambda}b_{\lambda\setminus\lambda_i,\lambda_i}c(-\lambda\setminus\lambda_i)b(-\lambda_i))\mathbf{1}_\alpha,$$

satisfying (3.4) and (3.5);

(2) If $\mathfrak{c} - n = m$ *for some* $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$*, then*

$$L_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,(m,1),\mathbf{1}_\alpha) = V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,(m,1),\mathbf{1}_\alpha)/U(\widehat{H}_4)u^1$$

is irreducible, where

$$u^1 = \sum_{\lambda \in P(n)} \sum_{i=1}^{k_\lambda} c_{\lambda\setminus\lambda_i,\lambda_i} c(-\lambda \setminus \lambda_i) a(-\lambda_i))\mathbf{1}_\alpha,$$

satisfying (3.6) and (3.7).

Proof: We only prove (1), since the proof of (2) is quite similar. Let

$$V' = U(\widehat{H}_4)u^1.$$

By Theorem 3.3 (ii), all the homogeneous singular vectors

$$u^k = [\sum_{\lambda \in P(n)} (a_\lambda c(-\lambda) b(m) + \sum_{i=1}^{k_\lambda} b_{\lambda\setminus\lambda_i,\lambda_i} c(-\lambda \setminus \lambda_i) b(-\lambda_i))]^k \mathbf{1}_\alpha,\ k = 1, 2, \cdots$$

lie in V'. Now let U/V' be a proper submodule of $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,(m,1),\mathbf{1}_\alpha)/V'$, where U is a proper submodule of $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,(m,1),\mathbf{1}_\alpha)$. Recall that

$$V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,(m,1),\mathbf{1}_\alpha) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,(m,1),\mathbf{1}_\alpha)_j.$$

Let

$$v = \sum_i v_i \in U,$$

where $0 \neq v_i \in V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,(m,1),\mathbf{1}_\alpha)_i$, be such that $|v| = \sum_i i$ is the minimal. Then

$$\widehat{H}_4((m,1),+)v_i = 0,$$

for each i, where $\widehat{H}_4((m,1),+)$ is the same as (3.3). By Theorem 3.3 (ii), $v_i \in V'$. So $U = V'$. This proves that $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,(m,1),\mathbf{1}_\alpha)/V'$ is irreducible. $\square$

Finally we introduce the so-called third class of generalized Verma type modules of $\widehat{H}_4$. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\widehat{H}_4(m,0)$ be the subalgebra of $\widehat{H}_4$ defined by (3.1) for the case $\epsilon = 0$, that is,

$$\widehat{H}_4(m,0) = (\bigoplus_{n \geq -m} \mathbb{C}a \otimes t^n) \bigoplus (\bigoplus_{n \geq m} \mathbb{C}b \otimes t^n) \bigoplus ((\mathbb{C}c \bigoplus \mathbb{C}d) \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]) \bigoplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k}.$$

Set

$$\widehat{H}_4(m,0)^+ = (\bigoplus_{n \geq -m+1} \mathbb{C}a \otimes t^n) \bigoplus (\bigoplus_{n \geq m+1} \mathbb{C}b \otimes t^n) \bigoplus ((\mathbb{C}c \bigoplus \mathbb{C}d) \otimes \mathbb{C}t[t]). \tag{3.8}$$

For $\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu} \in \mathbb{C}$, let

$$V(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu}, m) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} v_n$$

be an infinite dimensional module of $\widehat{H}_4(m, 0)$ defined by

$$\widehat{H}_4(m,0)^+ v_n = 0,\ \mathbf{k} \cdot v_n = v_n \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

$$c(0)v_n = (\tilde{\mu} + m)v_n,\ a(-m)v_n = -\tilde{\mu} v_{n+1},$$

$$d(0)v_n = (\tilde{\lambda} + n)v_n,\ b(m)v_n = (\tilde{\lambda} + \tilde{\nu} + n)v_{n-1}.$$

The following lemma is obvious.

Lemma 3.5. *$V(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu}, m)$ is an irreducible $\widehat{H}_4(m,0)$-module if and only if $\tilde{\mu} \neq 0$ and $\tilde{\lambda} + \tilde{\nu} \notin \mathbb{Z}$.*

Let

$$V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu}, m) = U(\widehat{H}_4) \otimes_{U(\widehat{H}_4(m,0))} V(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu}, m)$$

be the induced $\widehat{H}_4$-module.

For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, define

$$\begin{aligned} &\deg a(-n-m) = n,\ \deg b(-n+m) = n,\\ &\deg c(-n) = n,\ \deg d(-n) = n, \quad \deg \mathbf{k} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then $U(\widehat{H}_4)$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-graded. So we get an $\mathbb{N}$-gradation of $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu}, m)$ by defining $\deg v_n = 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. A homogeneous vector u in $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu}, m)$ is called a homogeneous singular vector if

$$\widehat{H}_4(m,0)^+(u) = 0.$$

Similar to Theorem 3.3, we have the following last main result of this section.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu} \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that $\tilde{\lambda} + \tilde{\nu} \notin \mathbb{Z}$, $\tilde{\mu} \neq 0$. Then the $\widehat{H}_4$-module $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu}, m)$ is irreducible if and only if $\tilde{\mu} + n \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Furthermore, we have*

(i) If $\tilde{\mu} + n = 0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, then all the linearly independent homogeneous singular vectors are

$$u^{(k,j)} = [\sum_{\lambda \in P(n)} (a_\lambda c(-\lambda) b(m) + \sum_{i=1}^{k_\lambda} b_{\lambda \backslash \lambda_i, \lambda_i} c(-\lambda \backslash \lambda_i) b(-\lambda_i + m))]^k v_j,\ j \in \mathbb{Z},\ k = 1, 2, \cdots$$

satisfying

$$a_\lambda q_i \lambda_i - b_{\lambda \backslash \lambda_i, \lambda_i} = 0,\ i = 1, 2, \cdots, k_\lambda, \tag{3.9}$$

$$b_{\lambda \backslash \lambda_i, \lambda_i}(\tilde{\mu} + \lambda_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{k_\lambda} b_{\lambda \backslash \lambda_i \backslash \lambda_j, \lambda_i + \lambda_j} = 0; \tag{3.10}$$

The submodules generated by $u^{(k,i)}$ and $u^{(k,j)}$ for $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $i \neq j$ are isomorphic to each other.

(ii) If $\tilde{\mu} - n = 0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, then all the homogeneous singular vectors are

$$u^{(k,j)} = [\sum_{\lambda \in P(n)} \sum_{i=1}^{k_\lambda} c_{\lambda \setminus \lambda_i, \lambda_i} c(-\lambda \setminus \lambda_i) a(-\lambda_i))]^k v_j,\ j \in \mathbb{Z},\ k = 1, 2, \cdots$$

satisfying

$$q_i \lambda_i c_{\lambda \setminus \lambda_j, \lambda_j} + c_{\lambda \setminus \lambda_i \setminus \lambda_j, \lambda_i + \lambda_j} = 0,\ i, j = 1, 2, \cdots, k_\lambda, i \neq j, \tag{3.11}$$

$$c_{\lambda \setminus \lambda_i, \lambda_i}(-\tilde{\mu} + \lambda_i) - \sum_{j=1}^{k_\lambda} c_{\lambda \setminus \lambda_i \setminus \lambda_j, \lambda_i + \lambda_j} = 0,\ i = 1, 2, \cdots, k_\lambda, \tag{3.12}$$

and the submodules generated by $u^{(k,i)}$ and $u^{(k,j)}$ for $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $i \neq j$ are isomorphic to each other, where in (i) and (ii), $P(n)$ is the set of all partitions of weight n,

$$\lambda^{(k)} = (\lambda, \lambda, \cdots, \lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k,\ \lambda = (\lambda_1^{(q_1)},\ \lambda_2^{(q_2)}, \cdots, \lambda_{k_\lambda}^{(q_{k_\lambda})})$$

such that $\sum_{i=1}^{k_\lambda} q_i \lambda_i = n$, and $\lambda \setminus \lambda_i = (\lambda_1^{(q_1)}, \cdots, \lambda_i^{(q_i - 1)}, \cdots, \lambda_{k_\lambda}^{(q_{k_\lambda})})$ and if $\lambda = \lambda_i$, then $c(-\lambda \setminus \lambda_i) b(-\lambda_i) = b(-\lambda_i)$, $c(-\lambda \setminus \lambda_i) a(-\lambda_i) = a(-\lambda_i)$.

Corollary 3.7. *(1) If $\tilde{\mu} + n = m$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, then*

$$L_{\widehat{H}_4}(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu}, m) = V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu}, m) / U(\widehat{H}_4) u^1$$

is irreducible, where

$$u^1 = \sum_{\lambda \in P(n)} (a_\lambda c(-\lambda) b(m) + \sum_{i=1}^{k_\lambda} b_{\lambda \setminus \lambda_i, \lambda_i} c(-\lambda \setminus \lambda_i) b(-\lambda_i)) v_0,$$

satisfying (3.9) and (3.10);

(2) If $\tilde{\mu} - n = m$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, then

$$L_{\widehat{H}_4}(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu}, m) = V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu}, m) / U(\widehat{H}_4) u^1$$

is irreducible, where

$$u^1 = \sum_{\lambda \in P(n)} \sum_{i=1}^{k_\lambda} c_{\lambda \setminus \lambda_i, \lambda_i} c(-\lambda \setminus \lambda_i) a(-\lambda_i)) v_0,$$

satisfying (3.11) and (3.12).

4 Extension of the vertex operator algebra $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,0)$

Set

$$\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{C}c(-1)\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbb{C}d(-1)\mathbf{1}.$$

Then

$$L(n)h = \delta_{n,0}h, \quad h(n)h^{'} = (h,h^{'})\delta_{n,1}\mathbf{1} \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \quad h,h^{'} \in \mathfrak{h}$$

and (,) restricted to $\mathfrak{h}$ is a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form. Thus we can identify $\mathfrak{h}$ with its dual $\mathfrak{h}^*$. One can easily see that for any $h \in \mathfrak{h}$, $h(0)$ acts semisimply on $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,0)$. So

$$V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,0) = \underset{\alpha\in\mathfrak{h}}{\oplus} V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,0)^{(0,\alpha)},$$

where

$$V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,0)^{(0,\alpha)} = \{v \in V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,0) | h(0)v = (\alpha,h)v \quad \text{for } h \in \mathfrak{h}\}.$$

Set

$$P = \{\alpha \in \mathfrak{h} | V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,0)^{(0,\alpha)} \neq 0\}$$

According to [22], P equipped with the bilinear form (,) is a lattice. Then

$$P = \mathbb{Z}c$$

Set

$$L = \mathbb{Z}c \oplus \mathbb{Z}d$$

Then for any $\alpha \in L$, $\alpha(0)$ acting on $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,0)$ has only integral eigenvalues. This amounts to $L \subset P^0$, where

$$P^0 = \{h \in \mathfrak{h} | (h,\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{for } \alpha \in P\}$$

Let ϵ: $L \times L \ \to \{\pm 1\}$ be a 2-cocycle on L such that

$$\epsilon(c,c) = 1, \quad \epsilon(c,d) = -1, \quad \epsilon(d,d) = 1,$$

and

$$\epsilon(\alpha,\beta)\epsilon(\beta,\alpha)^{-1} = (-1)^{(\alpha,\beta)+(\alpha,\alpha)(\beta,\beta)} = (-1)^{(\alpha,\beta)} \quad \text{for } \alpha,\beta \in L.$$

For $\alpha \in L$, let

$$E^{\pm}(\alpha,z) = exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha(\pm n)}{\pm n} z^{\mp n}\right), \quad \Delta(\alpha,z) = z^{\alpha(0)}E^{+}(-\alpha,-z).$$

Let $(W, Y(\cdot,z))$ be any (irreducible) weak $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,0)$-module, then by Proposition 2.9 of [22], $(W^{(\alpha)}, Y_\alpha(\cdot,z)) = (W, Y(\triangle(\alpha,z)\cdot,z))$ carries the structure of an (irreducible) weak $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,0)$-module. We expand $Y_\alpha(u,z)$ for $u \in V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,0)$ as follows:

$$Y_\alpha(u,z)) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} u_{(\alpha,n)} z^{-n-1}.$$

We have the following result.

Proposition 4.1. *(1) Let* $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ *and* $\alpha = kc \in L$*, then* $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,0)^{(\alpha)} \cong V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,\mathbb{d})$ *with* $\mathbb{d} = k$ *and* $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,0)^{(\alpha)}$ *is a simple current of* $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,0)$*.*

(2) Let $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, m_2 \neq 0$ *and* $\alpha = m_1c + m_2d$*. Then* $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,0)^{(\alpha)}$ *is isomorphic to the generalized Verma type module* $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1, m_2, \mathbf{1}_\alpha)$*.*

(3) $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,0)^{(\alpha)}$ *is irreducible for each* $\alpha \in L$ *and for* $\alpha, \beta \in L$*,* $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,0)^{(\alpha)} \cong V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,0)^{(\beta)}$ *if and only if* $\alpha = \beta$*.*

Proof: For $\alpha = kc$, let W be any irreducible weak $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,0)$-module. By Lemma 3.1, $c(0)$ acts as a scalar on W. Then by Theorem 2.15 of [23] (see also Theorem 2.13 of [22]), $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,0)^{(\alpha)}$ is a simple current of $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,0)$. By Theorem 2.12, $W \cong V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,\mathbb{d})$ for some $\mathbb{d} \in \mathbb{C}$. From the definition of $Y_\alpha(\cdot, z) = Y(\triangle(\alpha, z)\cdot, z)$, it is easy to check that $W^{(\alpha)} \cong V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1, \mathbb{d} + k)$. Therefore (1) holds.

For (2), by the definition of Y_α, we have

$$Y_\alpha(a(-1)\mathbf{1}, z)\mathbf{1} = z^{m_2} Y(a(-1)\mathbf{1}, z)\mathbf{1}, \quad Y_\alpha(b(-1)\mathbf{1}, z)\mathbf{1} = z^{-m_2} Y(b(-1)\mathbf{1}, z)\mathbf{1},$$

$$Y_\alpha(c(-1)\mathbf{1}, z)\mathbf{1} = Y(c(-1)\mathbf{1} + z^{-1} m_2 \mathbf{1}, z)\mathbf{1},$$
$$Y_\alpha(d(-1)\mathbf{1}, z)\mathbf{1} = Y(d(-1)\mathbf{1} + z^{-1} m_1 \mathbf{1}, z)\mathbf{1},$$

So we have

$$(a(-1)\mathbf{1})_{(\alpha,n)}\mathbf{1} = 0, \quad (b(-1)\mathbf{1})_{(\alpha,m)}\mathbf{1} = 0, \text{ for } \ n \geq -m_2, \ m \geq m_2,$$

$$(c(-1)\mathbf{1})_{(\alpha,n)}\mathbf{1} = (d(-1)\mathbf{1})_{(\alpha,n)}\mathbf{1} = 0, \quad \text{for} \ \ n \geq 1,$$
$$(c(-1)\mathbf{1})_{(\alpha,0)}\mathbf{1} = m_2\mathbf{1}, \quad (d(-1)\mathbf{1})_{(\alpha,0)}\mathbf{1} = m_1\mathbf{1}.$$

Therefore as an $\widehat{H}_4$-module, $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,0)^{(\alpha)}$ is a quotient of the generalized Verma type module $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1, m_2, \mathbf{1}_\alpha)$. By Theorem 3.2, $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,0)^{(\alpha)}$ is isomorphic to $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1, m_2, \mathbf{1}_\alpha)$.

(3) follows from Theorem 3.2 and (1)-(2). □

Furthermore, we have

Proposition 4.2. *Let* $\alpha = m_1c + m_2d \in L$*,* $\beta = n_1c + n_2d \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ *and* $m \in \mathbb{Z}$*. Then*

(1)

$$V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1, (m,1), \mathbf{1}_\beta)^{(\alpha)} \cong V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1, (m + m_2, 1), \mathbf{1}_{\alpha+\beta}),$$
$$L_{\widehat{H}_4}(1, (m,1), \mathbf{1}_\beta)^{(\alpha)} \cong L_{\widehat{H}_4}(1, (m + m_2, 1), \mathbf{1}_{\alpha+\beta}).$$

(2) Let $\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu} \in \mathbb{C}$ *be such that* $\tilde{\lambda} + \tilde{\nu} \notin \mathbb{Z}$*,* $\tilde{\mu} \neq 0$*. Then*

$$V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu}, m)^{(\alpha)} \cong V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\tilde{\lambda} + m_1, \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu}, m + m_2),$$

$$L_{\widehat{H}_4}(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu}, m)^{(\alpha)} \cong L_{\widehat{H}_4}(\tilde{\lambda} + m_1, \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu}, m + m_2).$$

For convenience, we give the following definition.

Definition 4.3. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the category of irreducible restricted $\widehat{H}_4$-modules W of level 1 such that $d(0)$ is semisimple, and there exists an integer m such that $h(n), a(-m+n)$ and $b(m+n)$ for $n \geq 1$, $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ are locally nilpotent on W.

The following lemma is easy to prove.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $W \in \mathcal{F}$. Then there exist $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and a non-zero element w in W such that*

$$h(n)w = a(-m+n)w = b(m+n)w = 0, \ \forall \ n \geq 1, \quad \forall \ h \in \mathfrak{h}.$$

We are now in a position to state the first main result of this section.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $W \in \mathcal{F}$ be such that $c(0)$ acts on W as $\mathbb{c}$. Denote $\alpha = \mathbb{d}c + \mathbb{c}d$, then W is isomorphic to one of the following irreducible modules:*

$$V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1, m, \mathbf{1}_\alpha), V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1, (m,1), \mathbf{1}_\alpha),\ V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu}, m)\ L_{\widehat{H}_4}(1, (m,1), \mathbf{1}_\alpha),\ L_{\widehat{H}_4}(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu}, m)$$

given in Theorems 3.2, 3.3 and 3.6, Corollary 3.4 and Corollary 3.7 respectively.

Proof: Let $W \in \mathcal{F}$, by the definition of $\mathcal{F}$ and Lemma 4.4, there exist an integer m and a non-zero element u in W such that

$$c(0)u = \mathbb{c}u, \ d(0)u = \mathbb{d}u,$$

for some $\mathbb{d} \in \mathbb{C}$, and

$$h(n)u = a(-m+n)u = b(m+n)u = 0, \ \forall \ n \geq 1, \quad \forall \ h \in \mathfrak{h}.$$

We first assume that $m = 0$. Then

$$h(n)u = a(n)u = b(n)u = 0, \ \forall \ n \geq 1, \quad \forall \ h \in \mathfrak{h}. \tag{4.1}$$

Let W^0 be the subspace of W consisting of elements satisfying (4.1). Then W^0 is a module of H_4. Since W is irreducible, it follows that W^0 is an irreducible H_4-module. By Theorem 2.6, Theorems 3.2, 3.3 and 3.6, Corollaries 3.4 and 3.7, we have

$$W \cong V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1, \mathbb{d}), \quad \text{if } \mathbb{c} = 0,$$

$$W \cong V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1, (0,1), \mathbf{1}_\alpha) \text{ or } V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu}, 0), \quad \text{if } 0 \neq \mathbb{c} \notin \mathbb{Z},$$

and

$$W \cong L_{\widehat{H}_4}(1, (0,1), \mathbf{1}_\alpha) \text{ or } L_{\widehat{H}_4}(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu}, 0), \quad \text{if } 0 \neq \mathbb{c} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Next we assume that $m \neq 0$. Note that W can be viewed as a module for the vertex algebra $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1, 0)$. Let $(\cdot, z)$ be the corresponding operator. Let $\beta = -md$. By the proof of Proposition 4.1, $(W^{(\beta)} = W, Y_\beta(\cdot, z))$ is a module of $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1, 0)$ satisfying

$$(x(-1)\mathbf{1})_{(\beta,n)}u = 0, \ \forall \ x \in H_4, \ \forall \ n \in \mathbb{Z}_+,$$

$$(c(-1)\mathbf{1})_{(\beta,0)}u = (\mathbb{c} - m)u,\ (d(-1)\mathbf{1})_{(\beta,0)}u = \mathbb{d}u.$$

Therefore $W^{(\beta)}$ is isomorphic to one of the following module:

$$V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,0,\mathbf{1}_{\alpha+\beta}),\ V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,(0,1),\mathbf{1}_{\alpha+\beta}),\ V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\tilde{\lambda},\tilde{\mu},\tilde{\nu},0),\ L_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,(0,1),\mathbf{1}_{\alpha+\beta}),\ L_{\widehat{H}_4}(\tilde{\lambda},\tilde{\mu},\tilde{\nu},0).$$

This means that W is isomorphic to one of the irreducible modules:

$$V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,m,\mathbf{1}_{\alpha}),\ V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,(m,1),\mathbf{1}_{\alpha}),\ V_{\widehat{H}_4}(\tilde{\lambda},\tilde{\mu},\tilde{\nu},m),\ L_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,(m,1),\mathbf{1}_{\alpha}),\ L_{\widehat{H}_4}(\tilde{\lambda},\tilde{\mu},\tilde{\nu},m).$$

□

Set

$$V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,0)[L] = \mathbb{C}[L] \otimes V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,0).$$

For $\alpha,\beta \in L,\ u,v \in V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,0)$, we define a vertex operator map Y on $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,0)[L]$ by

$$Y(e^{\alpha}\otimes u,z)(e^{\beta}\otimes v) = \epsilon(\alpha,\beta)e^{\alpha+\beta}\otimes z^{(\alpha,\beta)}E^{-}(-\alpha,z)Y(\Delta(\beta,z)u,z)E^{+}(-\alpha,z)(-z)^{\alpha(0)}v.$$

From [22], we have

Theorem 4.6. *$V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,0)[L]$ equipped with the vertex operator map Y is a vertex algebra.*

It is easy to see that for each $\alpha \in L$, $\mathbb{C}e^{\alpha}\otimes V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,0)$ is isomorphic to $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,0)^{(\alpha)}$ as $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,0)$-modules. So we also denote

$$V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,0)^{(\alpha)} = \mathbb{C}e^{\alpha}\otimes V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,0).$$

It is obvious that $L(0)$ has integral eigenvalues on $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,0)[L]$. In fact, for

$$v = e^{k_1c+k_2d}\otimes d(-m_{11})\cdots d(-m_{1i_1})c(-m_{21})\cdots c(-m_{2i_2})a(-m_{31})$$
$$\cdots a(-m_{3i_3})b(-m_{41})\cdots b(-m_{4i_4})\mathbf{1},$$
$$L(0)v = (k_1k_2 + \sum_{k=1}^{4}\sum_{j=1}^{i_k} m_{kj} + k_2(i_3 - i_4))v.$$

Moreover, the same proof of Theorem 3.5 in [11] gives that for $v \in V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,0)[L]$,

$$[L(-1),Y(v,z)] = \frac{d}{dz}Y(v,z).$$

Thus $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,0)[L]$ is a vertex operator algebra except the two grading restrictions.

Theorem 4.7. *Let W be a module for the vertex algebra $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,0)$ such that for each $\alpha \in L$, $\alpha(0)$ acts on W semisimply with only integral eigenvalues. Set*

$$W[L] = \mathbb{C}[L]\otimes W.$$

For $\alpha,\beta \in L$, $v \in V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,0)$, $w \in W$, we define

$$Y_{W[L]}(e^{\alpha}\otimes v,z)(e^{\beta}\otimes w) = \epsilon(\alpha,\beta)e^{\alpha+\beta}\otimes z^{(\alpha,\beta)}E^{-}(-\alpha,z)Y_W(\triangle(\beta,z)v,z)E^{+}(-\alpha,z)(-z)^{\alpha(0)}w.$$

Then $W[L]$ carries the structure of a $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,0)[L]$-module. Furthermore, if W is irreducible, then $W[L]$ is irreducible. In particular, $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,0)[L]$ is a simple vertex operator algebra.

Lemma 4.8. *Let U be an irreducible $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,0)[L]$-module. Then*

(1) There exists an element w in U such that for all $\alpha \in L$ and $n \geq 1$,

$$\alpha(n)w = 0.$$

(2) For $\alpha \in L$, $\alpha(0)$ acts on U semisimply with only integral eigenvalues and all $\alpha(n)$ for $n \geq 1$ are locally nilpotent.

Proof: Note that $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,0)[L]$ contains the lattice vertex algebra V_L and $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,0)[L]$ is simple. By Lemma 3.15 in [12], there exists an element w in U such that for all $\alpha \in L$, and $n \geq 1$,

$$\alpha(n)w = 0.$$

Let α be any element in L. Since $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,0)[L]$ is simple, similar to the argument in [9] (see also [25]), from [10], we have $Y(e^\alpha, z)w \neq 0$. Then there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $e^\alpha(k)w \neq 0$ and $e^\alpha(n)w = 0$ for $n > k$. By the fact that $\frac{d}{dz}Y(e^\alpha, z) = \alpha(z)Y(e^\alpha, z)$, we have

$$e^\alpha(k)\alpha(0)w = (-k-1)e^\alpha(k)w.$$

Since

$$[\alpha(n), e^\beta(r)] = (\alpha, \beta)e^\beta(n+r),$$

we have

$$\alpha(0)e^\alpha(k)w = (-k-1+(\alpha,\alpha))e^\alpha(k)w.$$

Note that $(\alpha, \alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}$, so $-k-1+(\alpha,\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then (2) follows from Lemma 4.4 and the fact that $e^\alpha(k)w$ generates W by operators $x(n), e^\beta(n)$, for $x \in H_4$, $\beta \in L$, and $[d, a] = a$, $[d, b] = -b$. □

Theorem 4.9. *Let W be an irreducible $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,0)[L]$-module, then $W \cong \mathbb{C}[L] \otimes U$, where U is an irreducible module of $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,0)$ such that for $\alpha \in L$, $\alpha(0)$ acts on U semisimply with only integral eigenvalues and all $\alpha(n)$ with $n \geq 1$ are locally nilpotent.*

Proof: By Theorem 3.16 of [12] , W viewed as a V_L-module is completely reducible and each simple weak module of V_L is $V_{L+\lambda}$, for some $\lambda \in L^\circ$, where L° is the dual of L and V_L is the vertex algebra associated with the even lattice L. Therefore there exist an element $u \in W$ and $\lambda \in L^\circ$ such that for $\alpha \in L$,

$$\alpha(n)u = 0,\ \alpha(0)u = (\alpha, \lambda)u,$$

and

$$e^\alpha(-(\alpha,\lambda)-1)u \neq 0,\ e^\alpha(-(\alpha,\lambda)-1+n)u = 0,$$

for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Since

$$[\alpha(n), e^\beta(r)] = (\alpha, \beta)e^\beta(n+r),$$

it follows that

$$\alpha(n)e^\alpha(-(\alpha,\lambda)-1)u = 0$$

for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and

$$\alpha(0)e^{\alpha}(-(\alpha,\lambda)-1)u = (\alpha,\alpha+\lambda)e^{\alpha}(-(\alpha,\lambda)-1)u.$$

Let U be the $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,0)$-module generated by u. Then $\mathbb{C}[L]\otimes U$ is a module of $V_{\widehat{H}_4}(1,0)[L]$. For $\alpha \in L$, let

$$E_{\alpha} = E^{-}(\alpha,z)Y_W(e^{\alpha},z)E^{+}(\alpha,z)(-z)^{-\alpha(0)}.$$

Then as the proof of Theorem 3.10 in [25], we have

$$\frac{d}{dz}E_{\alpha} = 0,$$

and

$$E_{\alpha}E_{\beta} = \epsilon(\alpha,\beta)E_{\alpha+\beta}.$$

Then it is easy to see that W is linearly spanned by $\{E_{\alpha}w|\ \alpha \in L, w \in U\}$.

Define ψ: $\mathbb{C}[L]\otimes U \to W$ by

$$\psi(e^{\alpha}\otimes w) = E_{\alpha}\otimes w,$$

for $\alpha \in L, w \in U$. Quite similar to the proof of Theorem 3.10 in [25], we have

$$\psi(Y_{U[L]}(h(-1)\mathbf{1},z)(e^{\alpha}\otimes w)) = Y_W(h(-1)\mathbf{1},z)\psi(e^{\alpha}\otimes w),$$

$$\psi(Y_{U[L]}(e^{\alpha},z)(e^{\beta}\otimes w)) = Y_W(e^{\alpha},z)\psi(e^{\alpha}\otimes w),$$

for $h \in \mathfrak{h}$, $\alpha,\beta \in L$, $w \in U$. Furthermore, for $\alpha = m_1c + m_2d \in L$, $w \in U$, we have

$$Y_{U[L]}(a(-1)\mathbf{1},z)(e^{\alpha}\otimes w) = z^{m_2}e^{\alpha}\otimes Y_{U[L]}(a(-1)\mathbf{1},z)w,$$

$$Y_W(a(-1)\mathbf{1},z)E_{\alpha}w = z^{m_2}E_{\alpha}Y_W(a(-1)\mathbf{1},z)w,$$

$$Y_{U[L]}(b(-1)\mathbf{1},z)(e^{\alpha}\otimes w) = z^{-m_2}e^{\alpha}\otimes Y_{U[L]}(b(-1)\mathbf{1},z)w,$$

$$Y_W(b(-1)\mathbf{1},z)E_{\alpha}w = z^{-m_2}E_{\alpha}Y_W(b(-1)\mathbf{1},z)w.$$

So

$$\psi(Y_{U[L]}(a(-1)\mathbf{1},z)(e^{\alpha}\otimes w)) = Y_W(a(-1)\mathbf{1},z)\psi(e^{\alpha}\otimes w),$$

$$\psi(Y_{U[L]}(b(-1)\mathbf{1},z)(e^{\alpha}\otimes w)) = Y_W(b(-1)\mathbf{1},z)\psi(e^{\alpha}\otimes w).$$

This means that ψ is a module isomorphism. Hence $W \cong \mathbb{C}[L]\otimes U$. Since W is irreducible, it follows that U is irreducible. □

Acknowledgements We would like to thank the referee for valuable comments and suggestions.

References

[1] Yixin Bao, Cuipo Jiang and Yufeng Pei, Representations of affine Nappi-Witten algebras (preprint)

[2] K. Bardakci and M. B. Halpern, Phys. Rev. D3 (1971) 2493.

[3] R. Borcherds, Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras, and the Monster, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **83** (1986) 3068-3071.

[4] Y. Cheung, L. Freidel and K. Savvidy, Strings in gravimagnetic fields, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **054** (2004) 1-48.

[5] G. DAppollonio and T. Quella, The abelian cosets of the Heisenberg group, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **045** (2007).

[6] G. DAppollonio and T. Quella, The diagonal cosets of the Heisenberg group, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **060** (2008).

[7] G. DAppollonio and E. Kiritsis, String interactions in gravitational wave backgrounds, *Nucl. Phys. B* **674** (2003), 80-170.

[8] J. Distler, talk at the Strings 93 Conference, Berkeley, May 1993.

[9] C. Dong, Vertex algebras associated with even lattices, *J. Algebra* **160** (1993), 245-265.

[10] C. Dong and J. Lepowsky, *Generalized Vertex Algebras and Relative Vertex Operators*, Progress in Math. Vol. **112**, Birkhäuser, Boston 1993.

[11] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, Simple currents and extensions of vertex operator algebras, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **180** (1996), 671-707.

[12] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, Regularity of rational vertex operator algebras, *Advances. in Math.* **132** (1997), 148-166.

[13] B. Feigin and E. Frenkel, A new class of representations of affine Kac-Moody algebras, *Russ. Math. Surv.***43** (1988), 221-222.

[14] J. M. Figueroa-O'Farrill and S. Stanciu, Nonsemisimple Sugawara constructions, *Phys. Lett. B* (1994) 327-340.

[15] E. Frenkel and D. Ben-Zvi, *Vertex algebras and algebraic curves*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **88**, AMS, 2001.

[16] I. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, *Vertex operator algebras and the monster*, Pure and Appl. Math. **134**, Academic Press, Boston, 1988.

[17] I. Frenkel and Y. Zhu, Vertex operator algebras associated to representations of affine and Virasoro algebras, *Duke Math. J.* **66** (1992), 123-168.

[18] V. Kac, *Infinite-Dimensional Lie Algebras*, (1990) 3rd ed. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

[19] E. Kiritsis and C. Kounnas, String propagation in gravitational wave backgrounds, *Phys. Lett. B* **594** (1994), 368-374.

[20] J. Lepowsky, H. Li, *Introduction to Vertex Operator Algebras and Their Representations*, Progress in Math. **227**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2003.

[21] H. Li, Local systems of vertex operators, vertex superalgebras and modules, *J. Pure Appl. Alg.* **109** (1996), 143-195.

[22] H. Li, Certain extensions of vertex operator algebras of affine type, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **217** (2001), 653-696.

[23] H. Li, The physics superselection principle in vertex operator algebra theory, *J. Alg.* **196** (1997), 73-114.

[24] H. Li, Extension of vertex operator algebras by a self-dual simple module, *J. Alg.* **187** (1997), 237-267.

[25] H. Li and Q. Wang, On vertex operator algebras and their modules associated with even alttices, arXiv:0802.0173v1.

[26] B. Lian, On the classification of simple vertex operator algebras, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **163** (1994), 307-357.

[27] C. Nappi, E. Witten, Wess-Zumino-Witten model based on a nonsemisimple group, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **23** (1993), 3751-3753.

[28] R. V. Moody and A. Pianzola, *Lie algebras with triangular decompositions*, Canadian Mathematical Society Series of Monographs and Advanced Texts. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1995.

[29] M. Szczesny, Wakimoto modules for twisted affine Lie algebras, *Math. Res. Lett.* **9** (2002), 433C448.

[30] M. Willard, *Lie Theory and Special Functions*, Academic Press, Inc., New York, 1968.

[31] E. Witten, Non-abelian bosonization in two-dimensions, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **92** (1984), 455-472.

[32] M. Wakimoto, Fock representations of the affine Lie algebra $A_1^{(1)}$, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **104** (1986), 605-609.

[33] S. Wang, Construction of the vertex operator algebra associated to finite nondegenerate solvable Lie algebra, *Acta Math. Sinica* **48** (2005), 867-878.

[34] W. Wang, Rationality of Virasoro vertex operator algebras, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* **7** (1993), 197-211.

[35] X. Xu, *Introduction to Vertex Operator Superalgebras and Their Modules*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht/Boston/London, 1998.

[36] Y. Zhu, Modular invariance of characters of vertex operator algebras, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **9** (1996), 237-301.